

## 1 It's a Bird! It's a Plane! It's a CatBus!

- (a) On a research expedition studying air traffic, we discovered a new species: the Flying Interfacing **CatBus**, which acts like a vehicle and has the ability to make noise (safety is important!).

Given the **Vehicle** and **Noisemaker** interfaces, fill out the **CatBus** class so that **CatBuses** can rev their engines and make noise at other **CatBuses** with a **CatBus**-specific sound.

```
interface Vehicle {
    public void revEngine();
}

interface Noisemaker {
    public void makeNoise();
}

public class CatBus _____, _____ {
    @Override
    _____ { /* CatBus revs engine, code not shown */ }

    @Override
    _____ { /* CatBus makes noise, code not shown */ }

    /** Allows CatBus to make noise at other CatBuses. */
    public void conversation(CatBus target) {
        makeNoise();
        target.makeNoise();
    }
}
```

**Solution**

```

interface Vehicle {
    public void revEngine();
}

interface Noisemaker {
    public void makeNoise();
}

public class CatBus implements Vehicle, Noisemaker {
    @Override
    public void revEngine() {
        // CatBus revs its engine, implementation not shown
    }

    @Override
    public void makeNoise() {
        // CatBus makes noise, implementation not shown
    }

    /** Allows CatBus to make noise at other CatBuses. */
    public void conversation(CatBus target) {
        makeNoise();
        target.makeNoise();
    }
}

```

- (b) It's a lovely morning in the skies and we've encountered a horrible **Goose**, which also **implements Noisemaker** (it has a knife in its beak!). Modify the **conversation** method signature so that **CatBuses** can **makeNoise** at both **CatBus** and **Goose** objects while only having one argument, **target**.

We can change the method signature so that the type of the parameter **target** is **Noisemaker** (both **CatBus** and **Goose** implement **Noisemaker**):

```

/** Allows CatBus to make noise at other both CatBuses and Geoses. */
public void conversation(Noisemaker target) {
    makeNoise();
    target.makeNoise();
}

```

## 2 Default

- (a) Suppose we have a `MyQueue` interface that we want to implement. We want to add two default methods to the interface: `clear`, `remove` and `max`. Fill in these methods in the code below.

```
public interface MyQueue<E> {
    void enqueue(E element); // adds an element to the end of the queue
    E dequeue();             // removes and returns the front element of the queue
    boolean isEmpty();       // returns true if the queue is empty
    int size();              // returns the number of elements in the queue

    // removes all items from the queue
    default void clear() {

    }

    // removes all items equal to item from the queue
    // the remaining items should be in the same order as they were before
    default void remove(E item) {

    }

    // returns the maximum element in the queue according to the comparator
    // the items in the queue should be in the same order as they were before
    // assume the queue is not empty
    default E max(Comparator<E> c) {

    }
}
```

**Solution**

```

// removes all items from the queue
default void clear() {
    while (!isEmpty()) {
        dequeue();
    }
}

// removes all items equal to item from the queue
// the remaining items should be in the same order as they were before
default void remove(E item) {
    int removed = 0;
    int currSize = size();
    while (removed < currSize) {
        E currItem = dequeue();
        if (!currItem.equals(item)) {
            enqueue(currItem);
        }
        removed++;
    }
}

// returns the maximum element in the queue according to the comparator
// the items in the queue should be in the same order as they were before
// assume the queue is not empty
default E max(Comparator<E> c) {
    int removed = 0;
    int currSize = size();
    E currMax = null;
    while (removed < currSize) {
        E currItem = dequeue();
        if (currMax == null) {
            currMax = currItem;
        } else if (c.compare(currItem, currMax) > 0) {
            currMax = currItem;
        }
        enqueue(currItem);
        removed++;
    }
    return currMax;
}

```

Note that `for (int i = 0; i < currSize; i++) {...}` also works here.

### 3 Inheritance Syntax

Suppose we have the classes below:

```
public class ComparatorTester {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String[] strings = new String[] {"horse", "cat", "dogs"};
        System.out.println(Maximizer.max(strings, new LengthComparator()));
    }
}

public class LengthComparator implements Comparator<String> {
    @Override
    public int compare(String a, String b) {
        return a.length() - b.length();
    }
}

public class Maximizer {
    /**
     * Returns the maximum element in items, according to the given Comparator.
     */
    public static <T> T max(T[] items, Comparator<T> c) {
        ...
        int cmp = c.compare(items[i], items[maxDex]);
        ...
    }
}
```

(a) Suppose we omit the `compare` method from `LengthComparator`. Which of the following will fail to compile?

- `ComparatorTester.java`
- `LengthComparator.java`
- `Maximizer.java`
- `Comparator.java`

`LengthComparator`, because it is claiming to be a `Comparator`, but it is missing a `compare` method.

(b) Suppose we omit `implements Comparator<String>` in `LengthComparator`. Which file will fail to compile?

- `ComparatorTester.java`
- `LengthComparator.java`
- `Maximizer.java`
- `Comparator.java`

`ComparatorTester`, because we are trying to provide a `LengthComparator` (which isn't a `Comparator`) to the method `max`, which expects a `Comparator`.

`LengthComparator`, because `compare` is no longer overriding anything, thus causing the `@Override` to trigger a compiler error.

- (c) Suppose we removed `@Override`. What are the implications?

The code will work fine, but it's best practice to say "Override" to prevent typos and make our code more clear.

- (d) Suppose we changed where the type parameter appears so that the code in `Maximizer` looks like:

```
public class Maximizer<T> {
    public T max(T[] items, Comparator<T> c) {
        ...
    }
}
```

What would change about the way we use `Maximizer`?

We'd have to instantiate a `Maximizer` object to use it, e.g. `Maximizer<String> m = new Maximizer<>();` This isn't as nice.

- (e) Suppose we changed the method signature for `max` to read `public static String max(String[] items, Comparator<String> c)`. Would the code shown still work?

Yes, it would still work, it just wouldn't generalize to types other than `String`.